

Math 13 — W 2000 — Handout 3

Differentiability, the derivative map, and the derivative matrix

Definition. A function $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ is *differentiable at the point* $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ if there is a linear transformation $L : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ such that $f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h})$ is given, to first order, by $f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) = f(\mathbf{x}_0) + L(\mathbf{h})$; that is, if $f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - L(\mathbf{h})$ goes to zero as $\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$, but *faster* than \mathbf{h} itself does:

$$(*) \quad \lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - L(\mathbf{h})}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = \mathbf{0}.$$

If such a linear transformation L exists, we call it the *derivative of f at \mathbf{x}_0* , and denote it $Df(\mathbf{x}_0)$. Thus $Df(\mathbf{x}_0)$ is a linear transformation $\mathbf{R}^n \xrightarrow{Df(\mathbf{x}_0)} \mathbf{R}^m$ which serves as the *linear part* of the first-order approximation to f near \mathbf{x}_0 ; indeed, the first-order (affine-linear) approximation to f near \mathbf{x}_0 is given by

$$f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) \approx f(\mathbf{x}_0) + (Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{h}),$$

that is, $f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) = f(\mathbf{x}_0) + (Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{h}) +$ (terms which are "quadratic or higher" in \mathbf{h}) — this is the beginning of a "Taylor series" in higher dimensions.

Note: If we write $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}$, so that $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0$, we can rewrite

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - (Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{h})}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = \mathbf{0}$$

as

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - (Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|} = \mathbf{0},$$

thereby recovering the definition on page 129 of Marsden/Tromba/Weinstein.

Assuming that $Df(\mathbf{x}_0)$ exists, it is a linear transformation, so it has a representing matrix $[Df(\mathbf{x}_0)]$. How do we find this representing matrix?

Recall that for *any* linear transformation $L : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$, the representing matrix $[L]$ is the $m \times n$ matrix whose columns are the vectors $L(\mathbf{e}_1), L(\mathbf{e}_2), \dots, L(\mathbf{e}_n)$, where $\mathbf{e}_j = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the j th standard coordinate basis vector. Thus, to determine $[Df(\mathbf{x}_0)]$, we should compute its columns $(Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{e}_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Now f is a function $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$, so it has m component functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m , i.e.,

$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), f_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \dots, f_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n))$, where each f_j is a real-valued function $f_j : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. We know by definition (equation (*)) that

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - (Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{h})}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Let's consider the special case when we take the limit by restricting \mathbf{h} to be of the form $\mathbf{h} = t\mathbf{e}_j$ for a real number t . That is, we will let \mathbf{h} approach zero in the \mathbf{e}_j -direction by letting t approach zero:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - (Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(t\mathbf{e}_j)}{\|t\mathbf{e}_j\|} \stackrel{[\text{since } Df(\mathbf{x}_0) \text{ is a linear function, } Df(\mathbf{x}_0)(t\mathbf{e}_j) = t Df(\mathbf{x}_0)(\mathbf{e}_j)]}{=} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - t(Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{e}_j)}{|t|} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - t(Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{e}_j)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}_0)}{t} - (Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{e}_j) \right) \end{aligned}$$

which says that

(**)

$$(Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{e}_j) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}_0)}{t}.$$

We can recognize the limit in (**) as something familiar. Let $\mathbf{x}_0 = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$. Remembering that $f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}_0)$ is an element of \mathbf{R}^m ,

$$\frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}_0)}{t} = \frac{1}{t} \left(f(\underbrace{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}_{\text{[j]th place}} + t(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)) - f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \right) =$$

$$\frac{1}{t} \left(f(\underbrace{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + t, \dots, a_n)}_{\text{[j]th place}}) - f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \right) =$$

$$\frac{1}{t} \begin{bmatrix} f_1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + t, \dots, a_n) - f_1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ f_2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + t, \dots, a_n) - f_2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + t, \dots, a_n) - f_m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{f_1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + t, \dots, a_n) - f_1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}{t} \\ \frac{f_2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + t, \dots, a_n) - f_2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}{t} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{f_m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + t, \dots, a_n) - f_m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}{t} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so}$$

$$(Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{e}_j) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}_0)}{t} =$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{f_1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + t, \dots, a_n) - f_1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}{t} \right] =$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + t, \dots, a_n) - f_2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}{t} =$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + t, \dots, a_n) - f_m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}{t} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + t, \dots, a_n) - f_1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}{t} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + t, \dots, a_n) - f_2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}{t} \\ \vdots \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + t, \dots, a_n) - f_m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}{t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_j}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_j}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_j}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}_0) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus the j th column of the representing matrix $[Df(\mathbf{x}_0)]$ is $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}_0) \end{bmatrix}$. This means that

the representing matrix $[Df(\mathbf{x}_0)]$ of the linear transformation $Df(\mathbf{x}_0)$ is given by

$$(***) \quad [Df(\mathbf{x}_0)] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}_0) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}_0) & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}_0) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}_0) & \dots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}_0) & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}_0) & \dots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}_0) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Generally we will dispense with the cumbersome notation $[Df(\mathbf{x}_0)]$ and will refer to both the linear transformation $Df(\mathbf{x}_0) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ and its representing matrix $[Df(\mathbf{x}_0)]$ by the *same* symbol, $Df(\mathbf{x}_0)$. Since knowing a linear transformation is equivalent to knowing its representing matrix, this slight abuse of notation will cause no confusion.

Special case: If $m = 1$, so that $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is an ordinary real-valued function, then (***) reduces to

$[Df(\mathbf{x}_0)] = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}_0) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}_0) \quad \dots \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}_0) \right]$, which we recognize as the gradient

$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}_0) \end{bmatrix}$ rewritten as a row vector. In particular, for a vector $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

we have $(Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{v}) = [Df(\mathbf{x}_0)] \mathbf{v} = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}_0) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}_0) \quad \dots \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}_0) \right] \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} =$

$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}_0)v_1 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}_0)v_2 + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}_0)v_n = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}_0) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0) \cdot \mathbf{v}$, the directional

derivative of f in the \mathbf{v} -direction. Indeed, equation (**) says exactly that for a function $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$, $(Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{e}_j)$ is a vector-valued generalization of the usual j th partial derivative. As we will show in homework, $(Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{v})$ is a vector-valued generalization of the directional derivative in the \mathbf{v} -direction:

$$(Df(\mathbf{x}_0))(\mathbf{v}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t\mathbf{v}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0)}{t}.$$

This gives a nice geometric way of thinking about the derivative as a linear map.