

Recall that the complex general linear group of degree  $d$  is the group of invertible matrices of dimension  $d$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

A matrix representation of a group  $G$  is a group homomorphism

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d.$$

Equivalently, assign to each  $g \in G$  a matrix ( $d \times d$ )  $\rho(g)$  such that

- (i)  $\rho(\mathrm{Id}_G) = \mathrm{Id}_d$
- (ii)  $\rho(gh) = \rho(g)\rho(h)$ ,  $\forall g, h \in G$ .

$d$  is the dimension of the representation.

### Examples

- All groups have the trivial representation :  $\rho(g) = \mathrm{Id}_d$ ,  $\forall g \in G$ .
- The group  $C_3$  also admits as representations
 
$$\rho_1(\bar{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{3}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{3}} \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\rho_2(\bar{k}) = \rho_1(\bar{k})^2$ , with  $\bar{k}$  being the class containing  $k$ ,  $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ .
- The symmetric group admits the sign representation

$$\rho(\sigma) = \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)$$
- The defining representation of the symmetric group associates to  $\sigma$  its permutation matrix
 

Ex.  $2|3 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Definition

Let  $V$  be a vector space and  $G$  be a group. Then,  $V$  is a  $G$ -module if there is a group homomorphism

$$\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$$

i.e.  $V$  is stable under  $\rho$ )

Equivalently,  $V$  is a  $G$ -module if there is a multiplication  
 $\circ_V$  such that

- (i)  $gv \in V$  (stability)
- (ii)  $g(v+w) = gv + gw$  (linearity)  $\forall c \in \mathbb{C}, v, w \in V.$
- (iii)  $g(hv) = (gh)v$  (action)  $\forall g, h \in G.$
- (iv)  $\text{Id}_V = 1$  (identity).

Alternatively, " $V$  carries a representation of  $G$ "

Examples

- The vector space  $\{(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d) \mid v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_d\}$  is a one-dimensional vector space and it is fixed under  $S_n$ .
- The standard module  $\{(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d) \mid v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_d = 0\}$  is a  $(n-1)$ -dimensional vector space, and it is fixed under  $S_n$ .
- The vector space spanned by  $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma$  is a one-dimensional subspace of the vector space  $\mathbb{C}S_n$  (the linear combinations of permutations over  $\mathbb{C}$ ). It is not isomorphic to the vector space spanned by  $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma$ . Hence, there exists at least two one-dimensional modules in  $\mathbb{C}S_n$  (with  $n > 2$ )

A submodule of  $V$  is a subspace that is invariant under the action of  $G$ .

Example

Every module  $V$  contains  $V$  and  $\{\vec{0}\}$  has submodules

nonzero

A  $\mathbb{C}$ -module is simple if it does not contain a nontrivial submodule (i.e. its only submodules are  $\{\vec{0}\}$  and itself).

A module is indecomposable if it cannot be written as a direct sum of (more than one) simple modules.

Simple  $\Rightarrow$  Indecomposable.

Theorem (Maschke, 1899)

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $V$  be a non-zero  $G$ -module over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, if  $V$  is indecomposable, it is simple.

Said otherwise,  $V$  can be decomposed into a direct sum of simple modules.

This theorem still holds if the base field is any field whose characteristic does not divide  $|G|$ .

Example

$\mathbb{C}S_3$  can be decomposed, since it contains  $\langle \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \sigma \rangle$  and  $\langle \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\sigma)\sigma \rangle$ , two one dimensional modules. We will see later that

$$\mathbb{C}S_3 = \langle \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \sigma \rangle \oplus \langle \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\sigma)\sigma \rangle \oplus \underbrace{2\mathbb{C}u},$$

where  $2\mathbb{C}u$  is a 2-dimensional module.  $\mathbb{C}u \oplus \mathbb{C}u'$ , where  $u \cong u'$ .

Definition

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be  $G$ -modules. Then a  $G$ -homomorphism (or homomorphism of  $G$ -modules) is a linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  such that  $\theta(gv) = g(\theta(v))$ , for all  $g \in G$  and  $v \in V$ . (i.e.  $\theta$  preserves or respects the action of  $G$ )

For such a  $\theta$ , its kernel is  $\ker \theta = \{v \in V : \theta(v) = \vec{0}\}$  and its image is  $\text{im } \theta = \{w \in W \mid \exists v \in V, \theta(v) = w\}$ .

Proposition

Let  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  be a  $G$ -homomorphism. Then

- (i)  $\ker \theta$  is a  $G$ -submodule of  $V$
- (ii)  $\text{im } \theta$  is a  $G$ -submodule of  $W$ .

Proof (of (i))

It means that  $\ker \theta$  is stable under the action of  $G$  (we already know it's a vector space).

Let  $v \in \ker \theta$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(gv) &= g(\theta(v)) \quad (\text{since } \theta \text{ is a homomorphism}) \\ &= g \cdot \vec{0} \quad \text{since } v \in \ker \theta \\ &= \vec{0};\end{aligned}$$

and  $gv \in \ker \theta$ .

Theorem (Schur's Lemma).

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two simple  $G$ -modules over  $\mathbb{C}$  (or any algebraically closed base field). If  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  is a homomorphism, either

- (i)  $\theta$  is a  $G$ -isomorphism
- (ii)  $\theta$  is the zero map.

Proof

By the proposition,  $\ker \theta$  is a  $G$ -submodule of  $V$ . Since  $V$  is simple, either  $V = \ker \theta$  (and  $\theta$  is the zero map) or  $\ker \theta = \{\vec{0}\}$ .

In the latter case,  $\text{im } \theta$  is a submodule of  $W$ , and it can't be  $\{\vec{0}\}$  because  $\theta$  is not the zero map. Hence,  $\text{im } \theta = W$  and  $\theta$  is surjective. But since  $\ker \theta = \{\vec{0}\}$ , it is also injective, and  $\theta$  is an isomorphism.

Corollary (matrix version).

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two irreducible matrix representations (i.e. representations corresponding to simple modules). If  $T$  is any matrix such that  $T X(g) = Y(g)T$  for all  $g \in G$ , then either

- (i)  $T$  is invertible
- or (ii)  $T$  is the zero matrix

Corollary

Let  $X$  be an irreducible matrix representation of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the only matrices that commute with  $X(g)$  for all  $g \in G$  are those of the form  $T = cI$  (i.e. scalar multiples of the identity matrix).

Proof

By the matrix version of Schur's Lemma,  $TX(g) = X(g)T$  implies that  $T$  is either invertible or zero. In the first case, write instead  $TX - cX = X(T - cI)$ , and this is  $(T - cI)X = X(T - cI)$ , for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . This satisfies the matrix version, and if we take  $c$  to be an eigenvalue of  $T$  (possible because  $\mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed),  $T - cI$  is not invertible, hence it is the zero map, and  $T = cI$ .

Corollary (rephrased)

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two simple  $G$ -modules over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, any homomorphism  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  is a multiple of the identity.

Reference: Bruce E. Sagan. The Symmetric Group, 2nd edition. §1.2 to 1.6