

Quiz 4

MATH 24 — SPRING 2014

<i>Sample Solutions</i>

Given

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 3 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Knowing that the first, second and fourth columns of A form a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 , write down the reduced row echelon form of A . Justify your answer. (*Hint*: See Theorem 3.16.)

Solution. Using Theorem 3.16, we can figure out the columns of the reduced row echelon form of A from left to right as follows.

- Since the first two columns of A are linearly independent, the reduced row echelon form of A must start with columns e_1 and e_2 .
- By visual inspection, we see that the third column A is the sum of the first two, by part (d) of Theorem 3.16, the third column can be used to read how the third column is a linear combination of the first two. Since the first two columns are linearly independent, there is only one way to write the third column of A as a linear combination of the first two. So the third column of the reduced row echelon form of A must be $e_1 + e_2$.
- Since we are given that the first, second and fourth columns of A are linearly independent, the fourth column of the reduced row echelon form of A must be e_3 .
- The last column of A must be a linear combination of the first, second and fourth columns of A . After some computation, we see that it is the sum of the first and second, minus twice the fourth. Therefore, the last column of the reduced row echelon form of A must be $e_1 + e_2 - 2e_3$ as we discussed above.

Combining this information, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Solution. We could also compute the reduced row echelon form of A using elementary row operations. By the Corollary of Theorem 3.16, this is necessarily the reduced row echelon form of A .

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix} & \text{Exchange rows 1 and 2.} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -6 & -6 & -4 & 2 \end{pmatrix} & \text{Add } -2 \text{ times row 1 to row 3.} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -4 \end{pmatrix} & \text{Add 6 times row 2 to row 3.} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -4 \end{pmatrix} & \text{Add } -3 \text{ times row 2 to row 1.} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} & \text{Multiply row 3 by } 1/2. \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} & \text{Add } -1 \text{ times row 3 to row 2.}
 \end{array}$$

□

Solution. Another option is to ask your calculator or computer to find the reduced row echelon form of A . But then how do we justify our answer?

One trick is to ask our computer to find the reduced row echelon form of the augmented matrix

$$(A | I) = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc|ccc} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 3 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

to find

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 3 & 1 & 1/2 \end{array} \right).$$

The augmented part

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & -1/2 \\ 3 & 1 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

records the product of the elementary matrices used to obtain the reduced row echelon form of A .

Every invertible matrix is a product of elementary matrices by Corollary 3 to Theorem 3.6. If Q is invertible and QA is in reduced row echelon form, then it follows from the Corollary to Theorem 3.16 that QA is the reduced row echelon form of A . Since

$$QA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is indeed in reduced row echelon form, it suffices to show that Q is invertible.

There are several ways to show that Q is invertible. A simple way is to compute the inverse

$$Q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and show that $Q^{-1}Q = I = QQ^{-1}$.

A clever way which avoids computing Q^{-1} is to observe that QA visibly has rank 3 since it has e_1, e_2, e_3 among its columns. Since the rank of QA cannot be larger than the rank of Q by Theorem 3.7(b), we conclude that Q has rank 3 too and therefore it must be invertible! \square