

Math 24  
Spring 2012  
Monday, May 14  
Sample Solutions

(1.) TRUE or FALSE?

(a.) Any linear operator on an  $n$ -dimensional vector space that has fewer than  $n$  distinct eigenvalues is not diagonalizable.

(b.) Two distinct eigenvalues corresponding to the same eigenvalue are always linearly dependent.

(c.) If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of a linear operator  $T$ , then each vector in  $E_\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ .

(d.) If  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are distinct eigenvalues of a linear operator  $T$ , then  $E_{\lambda_1} \cap E_{\lambda_2} = \{0\}$ .

(e.) Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$  and  $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be an ordered basis for  $F^n$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $A$ . If  $Q$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix whose  $j^{\text{th}}$  column is  $v_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), then  $Q^{-1}AQ$  is a diagonal matrix.

(f.) A linear operator  $T$  on a finite-dimensional vector space is diagonalizable if and only if the multiplicity of each eigenvalue  $\lambda$  equals the dimension of  $E_\lambda$ .

(g.) Every diagonalizable linear operator on a nonzero vector space has at least one eigenvalue.

(h.) You can always tell from the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  whether  $A$  is diagonalizable.

(i.) You can sometimes tell from the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  whether  $A$  is diagonalizable.

(j.) You can always tell from the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  whether  $A$  is invertible.

Answers are (mostly) in the back of the book. For (h), see the previous homework. For (i), if the characteristic polynomial does not split then  $A$  is not diagonalizable, and if the characteristic polynomial splits and all roots have multiplicity 1, then  $A$  is diagonalizable. For (j),  $A$  is invertible if and only if its null space is  $\{0\}$ , that is, if and only if 0 is not an eigenvalue of  $A$ , and from the characteristic polynomial you can tell what the eigenvalues are.

(2.) Find an invertible matrix  $Q$  and find a diagonalizable matrix  $B$  such that either  $Q^{-1}AQ = B$  or  $QAQ^{-1} = B$ . Be sure to say which of these two equations holds for your  $Q$  and  $B$ .

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -7 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -10 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $(2 - \lambda)^2(1 - \lambda)$ . Eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$  has multiplicity 1 and a basis for the eigenspace is  $\{(1, 0, 0)\}$ . Eigenvalue  $\lambda = 2$  has multiplicity 2 and a

basis for the eigenspace is  $\{(2, 0, 1)\}$ . Since this eigenspace does not have dimension 2,  $A$  is in fact not diagonalizable.

(3.) For the matrix  $A$  in problem (2), find a basis for the eigenspace of  $A$  corresponding to each eigenvalue. Describe each of these eigenspaces geometrically. (Be specific. Don't just say "a line"; specify which line.)

The eigenspace  $E_1$  is the  $x$ -axis. The eigenspace  $E_2$  is the line in the  $xz$ -plane with equations  $x = 2z$ ,  $y = 0$ .

(4.) Test the matrix  $A$  for diagonalizability.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of  $A$  are  $\lambda = 1$ , of multiplicity 2, and  $\lambda = 2$ , of multiplicity 1. To test for diagonalizability, we must test whether the eigenspace for  $\lambda = 1$  has dimension 2. The eigenspace  $E_\lambda$  is the null space of  $A - \lambda I$ , so we must check the nullity of  $A - \lambda I$ . By the Dimension Theorem, we can find the nullity of a matrix from its rank.

In our case  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $A - \lambda I = A - I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and we can see that this matrix has rank 2, so it has nullity 1. Therefore the dimension of the eigenspace is 1 and  $A$  is not diagonalizable.

(5.) Suppose a linear operator  $T$  on an  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V$  has only one eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$ , and  $T$  is diagonalizable. What can you conclude about  $T$ ?

What can you say in general about diagonalizable linear operators with a single eigenvalue?

Since  $T$  is diagonalizable,  $V$  has a basis  $\beta$  consisting of eigenvectors for  $T$ . Since the only eigenvalue of  $T$  is  $\lambda = 1$ , every element of  $\beta$  is an eigenvector for that eigenvalue, and so for  $v \in \beta$ , we have  $T(v) = v$ . Since  $T$  agrees with the identity operator  $I_V$  on  $\beta$ , and a linear transformation is determined by its action on a basis,  $T$  must be the identity operator:  $T = I_V$ , and  $T(v) = v$  for every  $v \in V$ .

By similar reasoning, if  $T$  is diagonalizable and its only eigenvalue is  $c$ , then  $T(v) = cv$  for every  $v \in V$ .

Notice that regardless of our choice of basis  $\alpha$ , we have  $[T]_\alpha = cI$ .

(6.) Show that if  $T$  is a diagonalizable linear operator on an  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ , then each vector  $v$  in  $V$  can be expressed uniquely as

$$v = v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_k$$

where  $v_i \in E_{\lambda_i}$ .

First, we show that any such expression is unique. Suppose that

$$v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_k = w_1 + w_2 + \cdots + w_k,$$

where  $v_i$  and  $w_i$  are in the eigenspace  $E_{\lambda_i}$ . We must show that  $v_i = w_i$  for all  $i$ .

We have

$$(v_1 - w_1) + (v_2 - w_2) + \cdots + (v_k - w_k) = 0,$$

where  $v_i - w_i$  is in the eigenspace  $E_{\lambda_i}$ . Because eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent, the only way this can happen is if we always have  $v_i - w_i = 0$ , or  $v_i = w_i$  for all  $i$ .

Now we show that any vector in  $V$  can be expressed in this form. Because  $T$  is diagonalizable,  $V$  has a basis of eigenvectors,

$$\beta = \{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,m_1}, v_{2,1}, \dots, v_{2,m_2}, \dots, v_{k,1}, \dots, v_{k,m_k}\},$$

where  $v_{i,j}$  is an eigenvector for  $\lambda_i$ . Because  $\beta$  is a basis, we can express any  $v$  in  $V$  as a linear combination of vectors from  $\beta$ ,

$$v = a_{1,1}v_{1,1} + \cdots + a_{1,m_1}v_{1,m_1} + a_{2,1}v_{2,1} + \cdots + a_{2,m_2}v_{2,m_2} + \cdots + a_{k,1}v_{k,1} + \cdots + a_{k,m_k}v_{k,m_k}.$$

Grouping together vectors from the same eigenspace, we have

$$v = v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_k,$$

where  $v_i = a_{i,1}v_{i,1} + \cdots + a_{i,m_i}v_{i,m_i} \in E_{\lambda_i}$ .