

1. Show that if T and U are linear transformations from a vector space V to a vector space W , then $R(T + U) \subseteq R(T) + R(U)$.

Show that if A and B are $m \times n$ matrices, then $\text{rank}(A + B) \leq \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B)$.

Suppose $v \in R(T + U)$; we must show $v \in R(T) + R(U)$. That is, we must show we can write v as the sum of an element of $R(T)$ and an element of $R(U)$.

Since v is in the range of $T + U$, by the definition of $T + U$, for some $x \in V$ we have

$$v = (T + U)(x) = T(x) + U(x).$$

Since $T(x) \in R(T)$ and $U(x) \in R(U)$, this is what we needed to show.

For the second part, we must show

$$\dim(R(L_{A+B})) \leq \dim(R(L_A)) + \dim(R(L_B)).$$

We know that $L_{A+B} = L_A + L_B$, so we must show

$$\dim(R(L_A + L_B)) \leq \dim(R(L_A)) + \dim(R(L_B)).$$

By the first part, $R(L_A + L_B) \subseteq R(L_A) + R(L_B)$, so

$$\dim(R(L_A + L_B)) \leq \dim(R(L_A) + R(L_B)),$$

and we must show that

$$\dim(R(L_A) + R(L_B)) \leq \dim(R(L_A)) + \dim(R(L_B)).$$

Let's show that in general $\dim(W_1 + W_2) \leq \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)$. We know $W_1 + W_2 = \text{span}(W_1 \cup W_2)$, so if α is a basis for W_1 and β is a basis for W_2 , then $\alpha \cup \beta$ generates $W_1 + W_2$. Therefore $\dim(W_1 + W_2) \leq \text{size}(\alpha) + \text{size}(\beta) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)$.

2. Suppose that $T : V \rightarrow W$ and $U : V \rightarrow Z$ are linear transformations between finite-dimensional vector spaces (possibly of different dimensions). When is there a linear transformation $\bar{T} : W \rightarrow Z$ such that $U = \bar{T}T$? When is there no such linear transformation?

You may not be able to find a complete answer; if not, come up with whatever criteria you can. (Examples: Assume U is the zero transformation. Assume U is not the zero transformation, but T is.)

Can you deduce anything about when a matrix equation $AX = B$ (where X is a matrix of variables) has a solution?

First, suppose there is such a \bar{T} . Then, for $x \in N(T)$, we must have

$$U(x) = \bar{T}T(x) = \bar{T}(T(x)) = \bar{T}(0) = 0,$$

so also $x \in N(U)$. Therefore, if $N(T) \not\subseteq N(U)$, there is no such \bar{T} .

Conversely, we will show that if $N(T) \subseteq N(U)$, then there is such a \bar{T} . Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ be a basis for $N(T)$, and extend it to a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ for V . We know that a linear transformation is determined by its action on a basis, so we will have $\bar{T}T = U$ as long as we have $\bar{T}T(v_i) = U(v_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

For $i \leq k$, we have $v_i \in N(T) \subseteq N(U)$, so $\bar{T}T(v_i) = \bar{T}(T(v_i)) = \bar{T}(0) = 0 = U(v_i)$, however we define \bar{T} . For $k < i \leq n$, we must guarantee $\bar{T}T(v_i) = \bar{T}(T(v_i)) = U(v_i)$.

By the proof of the Dimension Theorem, $\{T(v_{k+1}), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ is a basis for $R(T)$. Extend it to a basis $\{T(v_{k+1}), \dots, T(v_n), w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ for W . We can define \bar{T} on a basis for W any way we want, by a theorem in the textbook, so we can set $\bar{T}(T(v_i)) = U(v_i)$ for $i = k + 1, \dots, n$, and $\bar{T}(w_j) = 0$.

The answer to the second question is “not really.” We already know (by looking at the columns of AX one at a time) that $AX = B$ has a solution if and only if $\text{rank}(A|B) = \text{rank}(A)$.

However, we can deduce something about when a matrix equation $XA = B$ has a solution. We are trying to solve $L_X L_A = L_B$ for L_X , like trying to solve $\bar{T}T = U$ for \bar{T} , so by the first part we can do this in case $N(L_A) \subseteq N(L_B)$, that is, in case $A\vec{x} = 0 \implies B\vec{x} = 0$.

By thinking of the rows of A and B as coefficients of linear equations in the systems corresponding to $A\vec{x} = 0$ and $B\vec{x} = 0$, we can see that the equations in $B\vec{x} = 0$ must be linear combinations of the equations in $A\vec{x} = 0$; that is, the rows of B must be linear combinations of the rows in A . That is, we must have $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank}(A)$.

3. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be defined by

$$T(x, y, z) = \left(x, \left(\frac{1}{4}x + \frac{3}{4}y - \frac{1}{4}z \right), \left(\frac{1}{4}x - \frac{1}{4}y + \frac{3}{4}z \right) \right),$$

α be the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^3 , and β be the basis $\{(1, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (1, 0, 1)\}$.

- (a) Find $[T]_\beta$.
- (b) Find $([T]_\beta)^n$. (A^n is just A multiplied by itself n times.)
- (c) Find matrices Q and Q^{-1} such that $[T]_\alpha = Q[T]_\beta Q^{-1}$.
- (d) Use the fact that $[T]_\alpha = Q[T]_\beta Q^{-1}$ to find $([T]_\alpha)^n$ and $T^n(x, y, z)$. (T^n is just T composed with itself n times.)
- (e) Find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([T]_\beta)^n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([T]_\alpha)^n$. (The limit of a sequence of matrices is computed entry-by-entry.)
- (f) Find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(x, y, z)$.

This problem is a preview of Chapter 5, and is also related to an important application called Markov chains. Suppose (x, y, z) describes the state of some system at a given time (for example, x , y , and z could be the populations of three organisms in an ecosystem, or the net worths of three Monopoly players), and $T(x, y, z)$ always describes the state of the system one “step” later (for example, one fiscal year, or one turn for each player). Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(x, y, z)$ is the limiting state of the system, the state towards which the system will tend over time, if it starts in state (x, y, z) .

$$T(1, 1, 0) = (1, 1, 0), T(0, 1, 1) = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), T(1, 0, 1) = (1, 0, 1), \text{ so } [T]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$([T]_\beta)^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2^n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad Q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$([T]_\alpha)^2 = Q[T]_\beta Q^{-1} Q[T]_\beta Q^{-1} = Q([T]_\beta)^2 Q^{-1}, \text{ and in general } [T]_\alpha^n = Q([T]_\beta)^n Q^{-1}.$$

$$\text{Multiplying it out, } [T]_\alpha^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} & -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} & -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$T^n(x, y, z) = \left(x, \frac{x + y - z}{2} + \frac{-x + y + z}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x - y + z}{2} + \frac{-x + y + z}{2^{n+1}} \right).$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([T]_\beta)^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([T]_\alpha)^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(x, y, z) = \left(x, \frac{x + y - z}{2}, \frac{x - y + z}{2} \right).$$