First Order ODEs, Part II

Craig J. Sutton craig.j.sutton@dartmouth.edu

Department of Mathematics Dartmouth College

Math 23 Differential Equations Winter 2013

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

3

Outline



- First Order Linear ODEs
- General First Order ODEs
- Linear vs. Non-Linear

2 Modeling



- < ⊒ > .

Outline



- First Order Linear ODEs
- General First Order ODEs
- Linear vs. Non-Linear

2 Modeling



▲ (目) ▶ (● (目) ▶

ъ

Existence & Uniqueness Theorems Modeling Autonomous Equations Exist Order Linear ODEs General First Order ODE Linear vs. Non-Linear



We recall that we have techniques for solving some special ODE's:

- First Order Linear
- Separable
- Exact

However, it would be nice if there was a more general way to know if there's a solution just by looking at the ODE.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

First Order Linear ODEs General First Order ODEs Linear vs. Non-Linear



We recall that we have techniques for solving some special ODE's:

- First Order Linear
- Separable
- Exact

However, it would be nice if there was a more general way to know if there's a solution just by looking at the ODE.

・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・

- ⊒ →

First Order Linear ODEs General First Order ODEs Linear vs. Non-Linear

Existence & Uniqueness: First Order Linear

Recall the following result for first-order linear ODEs.

Theorem (Thm. 2.4.1)

Consider the first order linear differential equation

$$y' + p(t)y = g(t); y(t_0) = y_0.$$
 (1.1)

< 口 > < 同 > < 臣 > < 臣 >

If the functions p(t) & g(t) are continuous on an open interval a < t < b containing t_0 , then there is a unique solution $y = \phi(t)$ defined on a < t < b satisfying Eq. 1.1.

First Order Linear ODEs General First Order ODEs Linear vs. Non-Linear

Examples

Example

Consider the first-order linear IVP $y' + 3t^2y = \ln(t), y(1) = 4$.

- $p(t) = 3t^2$ is continuos on the whole real line.
- $g(t) = \ln(t)$ is continuous on the interval $0 < t < +\infty$.
- Hence, the largest interval containing $t_0 = 1$ on which p(t) and g(t) are both continuous is

$$0 < t < +\infty$$
.

Therefore, by the theorem the IVP has a unique solution y(t) defined on all of 0 < t < +∞

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

Existence & Uniqueness Theorems Modeling Autonomous Equations Linear vs. Non-Linear

Examples

Example

Consider the first-order linear IVP y' + t²/(t-2) y = 1/(t+3)(t-5), y(-1) = π.
p(t) = t²/(t-2) is defined and continuous for t ≠ 2.
g(t) = 1/(t+3)(t-5) is defined and continuous for t ≠ -3, 5.
Hence, the largest interval containing t₀ = -1 on which p(t) and g(t) are both continuous is

$$-3 < t < 2.$$

• Therefore, by the theorem the IVP has a unique solution y(t) defined on all of -3 < t < 2.

ヘロン ヘアン ヘビン ヘビン

ъ

Existence & Uniqueness Theorems Modeling Autonomous Equations Exist Order Linear ODEs General First Order ODE Linear vs. Non-Linear



In each of the following IVPs, find the largest interval of solution guaranteed to exist by the Existence & Uniqueness Theorem for First-Order Linear ODEs.

•
$$ty' + 2y = 4t^2$$
, $y(1) = 2$.
• $y' + \frac{2}{(t-4)}y = \ln(-(t+3)(t-11))$, $y(\frac{1}{2}) = 10^{10}$
• $e^{(t-3)(t+7)}y' - 3t^3y = \cos(t^3 - 3t)$, $y(-5) = 3$.

C.J. Sutton First Order ODEs, Part II

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Existence & Uniqueness Theorems Modeling Autonomous Equations First Order Linear ODEs General First Order ODEs Linear vs. Non-Linear

Existence & Uniqueness: General First Order ODEs

Theorem

Consider the first order IVP

$$y' = f(t, y); y(t_0) = y_0.$$
 (1.2)

If the functions f & $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ are continuous on some rectangle

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(t, y) : \alpha < t < \beta \text{ and } \gamma < y < \delta\}$$

containing (t_0, y_0) , then on some interval $(t_0 - h, t_0 + h) \subset (\alpha, \beta)$ there is a unique solution $y = \phi(t)$ to Eq 1.2.

Remark

If f is continuous on a neighborhood of (t_0, y_0) , then a solution exists, **but** it need not be unique.

Using the Existence and Uniqueness Theorem

Example

- Consider the IVP $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y) = \frac{3t^2+4t+2}{2yt+t^2}$, y(1) = 1.
 - f and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ exist and are continuous away from the lines t = 0 and $y = -\frac{t}{2}$.
 - Let *R* be any rectangle around (*t*₀, *y*₀) = (1, 1) which avoids these lines (e.g., *R* = {(*t*, *y*) : 0 < *t* < 2, 0 < *y* < 2}).
 - Then f and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}$ are both continuous on \mathcal{R} .
 - Therefore, by the Existence & Uniqueness Theorem there is a unique solution y(t) to the IVP on some interval containing $t_0 = 1$.
 - Do you know how to find this unique solution?

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

э

Using the Existence and Uniqueness Theorem

Example

Consider the IVP
$$\frac{dy}{dx} = g(x, y) = \frac{3x^2 + 4x + 2}{2(y-1)}, y(0) = -1.$$

- g and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$ are defined and continuous everywhere except on the line y = 1.
- Since (x₀, y₀) = (0, −1) does not sit on the line y = 1, we may draw a rectangle R around it on which g and ∂g/∂y are continuous.
- Therefore, by the theorem there is a unique solution y(x) to the IVP on some interval containing 0.
- Do you know how to find this unique solution?

ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

First Order Linear ODEs General First Order ODEs Linear vs. Non-Linear

Using the Existence and Uniqueness Theorem

Example

Consider the IVP
$$\frac{dy}{dx} = g(x, y) = \frac{3x^2 + 4x + 2}{2(y-1)}, y(0) = +1.$$

- g and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$ are defined and continuous everywhere except on the line y = 1.
- Since $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 1)$ sits on the line y = 1, we cannot draw a rectangle \mathcal{R} around it on which *h* and $\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}$ are continuous.
- Therefore, the Existence & Uniqueness theorem does not apply.
- Can we still find a solution? If so, is it unique?

ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

First Order Linear ODEs General First Order ODEs Linear vs. Non-Linear

Using the Existence and Uniqueness Theorem

Example

Consider the IVP $y' = h(t, y) = y^{\frac{1}{3}}, y(0) = 0.$

- *h* is continuous everywhere, but $\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}$ does not exist at $(t_0, y_0) = (0, 0)$.
- Therefore, the Existence & Uniqueness theorem does not apply.
- Can we still find a solution? If so, is it unique?
- Find all the values of (t_0, y_0) for which the corresponding IVP has a unique solution?

ヘロン 人間 とくほど くほとう

First Order Linear ODEs General First Order ODEs Linear vs. Non-Linear

Using the Existence and Uniqueness Theorem

Example

Consider the IVP $y' = k(x, y) = y^2$, y(0) = 1.

- k and $\frac{\partial k}{\partial v}$ are continuous everywhere.
- Therefore, the Existence & Uniqueness theorem the IVP has a unique solution.
- How large is the interval on which this solution exists?

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

Existence & Uniqueness Theorems Modeling Autonomous Equations First Order Linear ODEs General First Order ODEs Linear vs. Non-Linear

Exercises

Determine what (if anything) the Existence and Uniqueness Theorems say about solutions to the following IVPs

1
$$y' = \frac{t^2 + ty + 3}{t^2 + y^2}, \ y(0) = -1.$$

2 $y' = \cos(t\sqrt{|y|}), \ y(1) = -3.$
3 $y' + \ln(t)y = \sin(t^2 + 3), \ y(0) = 3.$
4 $y' - |y|t = 0, \ y(1) = 0.$
5 $y' = \cos(y), \ y(1) = \pi/2.$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

ъ

Existence & Uniqueness Theorems Modeling Autonomous Equations First Order Linear OD General First Order O Linear vs. Non-Linear

First Order ODEs: Linear vs. Non-Linear

General Solution

- Linear Eqs.: (under a mild condition) we can obtain exact solutions using integrating factor.
- Non-linear Eqs.: methods might miss some valid solutions.
- Interval of Definition
 - Linear Eqs.: look for discontinuities in p(t) & g(t).
 - Non-linear Eqs.: not so easy.
- Explicit vs. Implicit Solutions
 - Linear Eqs.: get explicit solutions (if you can perform integral).
 - Non-linear Eqs.: usually get implicit solutions. In practice need numerical techniques (e.g., Euler's method).

ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人口 ア

Existence & Uniqueness Theorems Modeling Autonomous Equations First Order Linear OD General First Order O Linear vs. Non-Linear

First Order ODEs: Linear vs. Non-Linear

General Solution

- Linear Eqs.: (under a mild condition) we can obtain exact solutions using integrating factor.
- Non-linear Eqs.: methods might miss some valid solutions.

Interval of Definition

- Linear Eqs.: look for discontinuities in p(t) & g(t).
- Non-linear Eqs.: not so easy.
- Explicit vs. Implicit Solutions
 - Linear Eqs.: get explicit solutions (if you can perform integral).
 - Non-linear Eqs.: usually get implicit solutions. In practice need numerical techniques (e.g., Euler's method).

・ロット (雪) () () () ()

Existence & Uniqueness Theorems Modeling Autonomous Equations First Order Linear OD General First Order O Linear vs. Non-Linear

First Order ODEs: Linear vs. Non-Linear

General Solution

- Linear Eqs.: (under a mild condition) we can obtain exact solutions using integrating factor.
- Non-linear Eqs.: methods might miss some valid solutions.

Interval of Definition

- Linear Eqs.: look for discontinuities in p(t) & g(t).
- Non-linear Eqs.: not so easy.

Explicit vs. Implicit Solutions

- Linear Eqs.: get explicit solutions (if you can perform integral).
- Non-linear Eqs.: usually get implicit solutions. In practice need numerical techniques (e.g., Euler's method).

・ロン ・同 とく ヨン ・ ヨン

Outline

Existence & Uniqueness Theorems

- First Order Linear ODEs
- General First Order ODEs
- Linear vs. Non-Linear

2 Modeling

3 Autonomous Equations

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ .

The Three Steps of Mathematical Modeling

- Construction: State problem & assumptions about process invovled. Translate into mathematics.
- Analysis: Solve model explicitly and/or gain qualitative/quantitative information about solution.
- Real World vs. Model: A model is only as good as the predictions it makes.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Salt in a Tank

Problem

Suppose a tank contains 100 gallons of fresh water. Then water containing $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. of salt per gallon is poured into the tank at a rate of 2 gal./min. and the mixture leaves the tank at a rate of 2 gal./min. After 10 minutes the process is stopped and fresh water is pumped in at the rate of 2 gal./min., with the mixture leaving the tank at the same rate. Find the amount of salt in the tank at the end of an additional 10 minutes.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

From Rags to Riches

Problem

Suppose a person with no initial capital invests k dollars per year at an annual rate of return r. Assume that investments are made continuously and that the return is compounded continuously.

- Determine the sum S(t) accumulated after t years;
- 2 If r = 7.5% determine the rate k so that after 40 years our investor has \$1 million.
- Suppose our investor can afford to save \$ 2000 per month. Determine the interest rate needed in order to accrue \$ 1 million after 40 years.

・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・

From Rags to Riches: Some Background

 If you invest S₀ at an annual rate of r compounded m times per year, then

$$S(t)=S_0(1+\frac{r}{m})^{mt},$$

is your balance after *t* years.

One can show that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}S_0(1+\frac{r}{m})^{mt}=S_0e^{rt}$$

 Hence, when we say we invest at an annual rate of r compounded continuously we have

$$S(t) = S_0 e^{rt}$$
.

From Rags to Riches: Some Background

• As an ODE a continuous growth rate is expressed as

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = rS$$

A first order linear ODE.

• Now, suppose that deposits, withdrawals, etc. take place at a constant rate *k*, then

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = rS + k$$

The solution is of the form

$$S(t) = S_0 e^{rt} + \frac{k}{r}(e^{rt} - 1),$$

where S_0 is the initial investment.

From Rags to Riches

Problem

Suppose a person with no initial capital invests k dollars per year at an annual rate of return r. Assume that investments are made continuously and that the return is compounded continuously.

- Determine the sum S(t) accumulated after t years;
- 2 If r = 7.5% determine the rate k so that after 40 years our investor has \$1 million.
- Suppose our investor can afford to save \$ 2000 per month. Determine the interest rate needed in order to accrue \$ 1 million after 40 years.

・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・

Outline

Existence & Uniqueness Theorems

- First Order Linear ODEs
- General First Order ODEs
- Linear vs. Non-Linear

2 Modeling



▲ (□) ▶ (▲ 三) ▶ (

.≣⇒

Equilibrium Solutions

Definition

Let y' = f(t, y) be a first order ODE. If α is such that $f(t, \alpha) = 0$ for all t, then

$$y(t) = \alpha$$

is called an **equilibrium solution** of the ODE.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

Equilibrium Solutions

Example

Consider the ODE $y' = \cos(t)(y^2 + y - 6)(y^2 - 16)$. It has equilibrium solutions:

● *y*(*t*) = −3

•
$$y(t) = -4$$

•
$$y(t) = 4$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三目 のへで

Equilibrium Solutions

Example

Consider the ODE $y' = y^2 + 2y - 8$. It has equilibrium solutions:

- y(t) = -4
- *y*(*t*) = 2

Notice in this case f(t, y) only depends on y.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ○ ○ ○

Equilibrium Solutions

Consider the ODE $y' = y^2 + 2y - 8$ and its equilibrium solutions: y(t) = -4 and y(t) = 2. Then

•
$$\frac{dy}{dt} > 0$$
 for $y > 2$;
• $\frac{dy}{dt} < 0$ for $-4 < y < 2$;
• $\frac{dy}{dt} > 0$ for $y < -4$;

We can see that y(t) = 2 is an unstable equilibrium while y(t) = -4 is a stable equilibrium...

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三目 のへで

Equilibrium Solutions



C.J. Sutton First Order ODEs, Part II

ヘロン 人間 とくほど くほとう

æ

Equilibrium Solutions & Autonomy

Definition

A first order ODE of the form

$$y'=f(y)$$

is said to be **autonomous**. That is, the derivative of y with respect to t has no explicit dependence on t.

< 回 > < 三 > <

프 🕨 🗉 프

Equilibrium Solutions & Autonomy

The equilibrium solutions of y' = f(y) correspond to the zeroes of f(y).

Definition

Let y(t) = K be an equilibrium solution of y' = f(y), then:

• ϕ is said to be an asymptotically stable solution if there is a δ such that if y(t) is a solution to the IVP

$$y' = f(y), y(t_0) = y_0,$$

where $y_0 \in (K - \delta, K) \cup (K, K + \delta)$, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = K$.

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とう