

## Lecture 06

Math 22 Summer 2017 July 03, 2017



- ▶ Review slash finish up §1.7 on linear independence
- §1.8 Linear transformations



#### Definition



Let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be an (indexed) set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

#### Definition



Let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be an (indexed) set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We say the set is **linearly independent** if the vector equation

$$x_1\mathbf{v}_1+\cdots+x_n\mathbf{v}_n=\mathbf{0}$$

has only the trivial solution.

#### Definition



Let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be an (indexed) set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We say the set is **linearly independent** if the vector equation

$$x_1\mathbf{v}_1+\cdots+x_n\mathbf{v}_n=\mathbf{0}$$

has only the trivial solution. We say the set is **linearly dependent** if there exist weights  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$  (not all zero!) so that

 $c_1\mathbf{v}_1+\cdots+c_n\mathbf{v}_n=\mathbf{0}.$ 

#### Definition



Let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be an (indexed) set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We say the set is **linearly independent** if the vector equation

$$x_1\mathbf{v}_1+\cdots+x_n\mathbf{v}_n=\mathbf{0}$$

has only the trivial solution. We say the set is **linearly dependent** if there exist weights  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$  (not all zero!) so that

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1+\cdots+c_n\mathbf{v}_n=\mathbf{0}.$$

Note that "not all zero" is different from "all not zero".

#### Definition



Let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be an (indexed) set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We say the set is **linearly independent** if the vector equation

$$x_1\mathbf{v}_1+\cdots+x_n\mathbf{v}_n=\mathbf{0}$$

has only the trivial solution. We say the set is **linearly dependent** if there exist weights  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$  (not all zero!) so that

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1+\cdots+c_n\mathbf{v}_n=\mathbf{0}.$$

Note that "not all zero" is different from "all not zero".



### §1.7 Theorem 7







#### Theorem

A set  $S = {\mathbf{v}_1, ..., \mathbf{v}_p}$  of two or more vectors is linearly dependent if and only if at least one of the vectors is a linear combination of the others.



#### Theorem

A set  $S = {\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p}$  of two or more vectors is linearly dependent if and only if at least one of the vectors is a linear combination of the others. Said another way, if and only if at least one of the vectors is in the span of the others.



#### Theorem

A set  $S = {\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p}$  of two or more vectors is linearly dependent if and only if at least one of the vectors is a linear combination of the others. Said another way, if and only if at least one of the vectors is in the span of the others.

As an example, consider three linearly dependent vectors  $\textbf{v}_1, \textbf{v}_2, \textbf{v}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^2.$ 



#### Theorem

A set  $S = {\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p}$  of two or more vectors is linearly dependent if and only if at least one of the vectors is a linear combination of the others. Said another way, if and only if at least one of the vectors is in the span of the others.

As an example, consider three linearly dependent vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Does it follow that  $\mathbf{v}_3 \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$ ?



#### Theorem

A set  $S = {\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_p}$  of two or more vectors is linearly dependent if and only if at least one of the vectors is a linear combination of the others. Said another way, if and only if at least one of the vectors is in the span of the others.

As an example, consider three linearly dependent vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Does it follow that  $\mathbf{v}_3 \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$ ? Not necessarily!



#### Theorem

A set  $S = {\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p}$  of two or more vectors is linearly dependent if and only if at least one of the vectors is a linear combination of the others. Said another way, if and only if at least one of the vectors is in the span of the others.

As an example, consider three linearly dependent vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Does it follow that  $\mathbf{v}_3 \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$ ? Not necessarily! Example?

## §1.7 Classwork





Find all values of  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  for which the vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -1\\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\ -5\\ 7 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\ 5\\ h \end{bmatrix}$$

form a linearly dependent set.



Find all values of  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  for which the vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\-5\\7 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\5\\h \end{bmatrix}$$

form a linearly dependent set. Well,

$$[\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \mathbf{v}_3] \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & h - 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$



Find all values of  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  for which the vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\-5\\7 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\5\\h \end{bmatrix}$$

form a linearly dependent set. Well,

$$[\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \mathbf{v}_3] \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & h - 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So the set is dependent if and only if h = 6.

## §1.7 Classwork





## Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with the property that for every $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , the matrix equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has at most one solution.



Let A be a  $m \times n$  matrix with the property that for every  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , the matrix equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has at most one solution. Show that the columns of A are linearly independent.

## §1.7 Classwork





#### If $\mathbf{w} \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$ , is $\text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\} = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$ ?



#### If $\mathbf{w} \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$ , is $\text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\} = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$ ?

Yes! Although this seems like a trivial result, the significance is that if we have a space that is spanned by vectors, we can eliminate redundant vectors until we have a linearly independent set.



#### If $\mathbf{w} \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$ , is $\text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\} = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$ ?

Yes! Although this seems like a trivial result, the significance is that if we have a space that is spanned by vectors, we can eliminate redundant vectors until we have a linearly independent set. Such a set is called a **basis**.







Recall some of the terminology here: **mapping**, **domain**, **codomain**, **image**, **range**, etc



# Recall some of the terminology here: **mapping**, **domain**, **codomain**, **image**, **range**, etc

We will mainly be concerned with functions defined by a matrix acting on a vector.



# Recall some of the terminology here: **mapping**, **domain**, **codomain**, **image**, **range**, etc

We will mainly be concerned with functions defined by a matrix acting on a vector.

More precisely, for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $A_{m \times n}$  matrix, we define a map  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  by  $T(\mathbf{x}) := A\mathbf{x}$ .



# Recall some of the terminology here: **mapping**, **domain**, **codomain**, **image**, **range**, etc

We will mainly be concerned with functions defined by a matrix acting on a vector.

More precisely, for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $A_{m \times n}$  matrix, we define a map  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  by  $T(\mathbf{x}) := A\mathbf{x}$ .

Recall the linearity properties of "left multiplication by A".



# Recall some of the terminology here: **mapping**, **domain**, **codomain**, **image**, **range**, etc

We will mainly be concerned with functions defined by a matrix acting on a vector.

More precisely, for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $A_{m \times n}$  matrix, we define a map  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  by  $T(\mathbf{x}) := A\mathbf{x}$ .

Recall the linearity properties of "left multiplication by A".

Hence the name *linear transformation* or *linear map*.



# Recall some of the terminology here: **mapping**, **domain**, **codomain**, **image**, **range**, etc

We will mainly be concerned with functions defined by a matrix acting on a vector.

More precisely, for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $A_{m \times n}$  matrix, we define a map  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  by  $T(\mathbf{x}) := A\mathbf{x}$ .

Recall the linearity properties of "left multiplication by A".

Hence the name *linear transformation* or *linear map*.

Linear maps preserve the algebraic operations of addition and scalar multiplication.

### §1.8 Example





$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

What is the domain of T?



$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

What is the domain of T? Codomain?



$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

What is the domain of T? Codomain?

What is the image of  $\mathbf{u}$  under the map T?



$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

What is the domain of T? Codomain?

What is the image of  $\mathbf{u}$  under the map T?

Find an element **x** in the domain such that  $T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}$ .



$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

What is the domain of T? Codomain?

What is the image of  $\mathbf{u}$  under the map T?

Find an element **x** in the domain such that  $T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}$ . Is this the only solution?



$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

What is the domain of T? Codomain?

What is the image of  $\mathbf{u}$  under the map T?

Find an element **x** in the domain such that  $T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}$ . Is this the only solution?

Is **c** in the image of T?



$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

What is the domain of T? Codomain?

What is the image of  $\mathbf{u}$  under the map T?

Find an element **x** in the domain such that  $T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}$ . Is this the only solution?

Is **c** in the image of *T*?

How would this question change if A were not in REF?



# https://math.dartmouth.edu/~m22x17/section2lectures/ classwork06.pdf