Math 1 Lecture 11

Dartmouth College

Wednesday 10-05-16

Reminders/Announcements

Convergence

Geometric Sequences

Using the Definition of Convergence

More Examples

- WebWork due Friday
- Thursday x-hour trig review

A sequence is said to **converge** to a **limit** *L* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer *N* such that

$$|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$$

for every $n \ge N$.

A sequence is said to **converge** to a **limit** *L* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer *N* such that

$$|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$$

for every $n \ge N$. It is common to package this all together with the following notation:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=L.$$

A sequence is said to **converge** to a **limit** *L* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer *N* such that

$$|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$$

for every $n \ge N$. It is common to package this all together with the following notation:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=L.$$

When a sequence does not converge we say it **diverges** or "fails to have a limit".

 $\{1/(n^3)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

$$\{1/(n^3)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

Monotone? Yes. Decreasing. Bounded? Yes. M = 1. Convergent? Yes. L = 0.

$$\{1/(n^{-3})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$\{1/(n^{-3})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

Monotone? Yes. Increasing. Bounded? No. Convergent? No.

$\{1/(n^p)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ p in $\mathbb R$

$$\{1/(n^p)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
 p in \mathbb{R}

Monotone? Always monotone. If p > 0, then decreasing. If p < 0, then increasing. What about when p = 0? Bounded? Only when $p \ge 0$. What's a bound? Convergent? Only when $p \ge 0$. When p = 0, $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 1$. What about when p > 0?

 $\{0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,\dots\}$

$\{0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,\dots\}$

Monotone? Nah. Bounded? Yep. Convergent? No way!

 $\{0, 1, 0, 0, 1/2, 0, 0, 0, 1/3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1/4, \dots\}$

$$\{0, 1, 0, 0, 1/2, 0, 0, 0, 1/3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1/4, \dots\}$$

Monotone? Nope. Bounded? Yes! Convergent? Yes. $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$.

 $\{0.9, 0.99, 0.999, \dots\}$

 $\{0.9, 0.99, 0.999, \dots\}$

Monotone? Yes. Bounded? Yes. Convergent? Yes. Haven't you heard someone say that $0.\overline{9} = 1$?

$$\{(-1)^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

$$\{(-1)^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

Monotone? No. Bounded? Yes. Convergent? Nope.

$$\left\{\frac{(-1)^n}{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$\left\{\frac{(-1)^n}{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

Monotone? No. Bounded? Yep. Convergent? Yup.

$$\left\{\frac{\cos(n\pi)}{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$\left\{\frac{\cos(n\pi)}{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

Monotone? Nope. Bounded? Yup. Convergent? Yup.

$$\left\{\frac{n+1}{n-1}\right\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$$

$$\left\{\frac{n+1}{n-1}\right\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$$

Monotone? Yes. Decreasing. Bounded? Yes. Convergent? Yes. L = 1.

$$\left\{\frac{2n^2+n+5}{31n^2+100n+82364}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$\left\{\frac{2n^2+n+5}{31n^2+100n+82364}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

Monotone? Yes. Increasing. Bounded? Yes... by the limit. Convergent? Yes. $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 2/31$.

$$\left\{\frac{(2n-1)(1-5n)}{2n(n+1)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$\left\{\frac{(2n-1)(1-5n)}{2n(n+1)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

Monotone? Yes. Decreasing. Bounded? Yes. M = 5 works. M = 1000 also works... Convergent? Yes. The limit is $2 \cdot (-5)/2 = -5$.

$$\left\{e^{(-n^2)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$\left\{e^{(-n^2)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

Monotone? Yes. It is decreasing. Bounded? Yep. By the first term. Convergent? The limit is zero.

$$\left\{\log_e\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$\left\{\log_e\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

Monotone? Yes. Decreasing. Bounded? Nope. Convergent? Nope. It diverges to $-\infty$.

Is a convergent sequence necessarily bounded?

Is a convergent sequence necessarily bounded? Yes!

Is a convergent sequence necessarily bounded? Yes! Is a bounded sequence necessarily convergent?

Is a convergent sequence necessarily bounded? Yes! Is a bounded sequence necessarily convergent? No!

- Is a convergent sequence necessarily bounded? Yes!
- Is a bounded sequence necessarily convergent? No! Example?

- Is a convergent sequence necessarily bounded? Yes!
- Is a bounded sequence necessarily convergent? No! Example?
- Is a bounded and monotone sequence necessarily convergent?

- Is a convergent sequence necessarily bounded? Yes!
- Is a bounded sequence necessarily convergent? No! Example?
- Is a bounded and monotone sequence necessarily convergent? Yes!

- Is a convergent sequence necessarily bounded? Yes!
- Is a bounded sequence necessarily convergent? No! Example?
- Is a bounded and monotone sequence necessarily convergent? Yes!
- Is a convergent sequence necessarily bounded and monotone?

- Is a convergent sequence necessarily bounded? Yes!
- Is a bounded sequence necessarily convergent? No! Example?
- Is a bounded and monotone sequence necessarily convergent? Yes!
- Is a convergent sequence necessarily bounded and monotone? No!

- Is a convergent sequence necessarily bounded? Yes!
- Is a bounded sequence necessarily convergent? No! Example?
- Is a bounded and monotone sequence necessarily convergent? Yes! Is a convergent sequence necessarily bounded and monotone? No! Example?

A sequence is **geometric** if it is of the form

 $\{a\cdot r^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$

with a, r in \mathbb{R} . We call r the **common ratio**.

$$\{2\cdot 3^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$$

$$\{2\cdot 3^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$$

Monotone? Increasing. Bounded? No. Convergent? No.

$$\left\{2\cdot\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^n\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

$$\left\{2\cdot\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^n\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

Monotone? Yes. Decreasing. Bounded? Yes. By the first term. Convergent? Yep. It converges to zero. For what values of r does a geometric sequence converge?

For what values of r does a geometric sequence converge? A geometric sequence converges if and only if r in (-1, 1).

 $\{0.9, 0.99, 0.999, 0.9999, \dots\}$

 $\{0.9, 0.99, 0.999, 0.9999, \dots\}$

It is *clear* that this sequence converges to 1.

 $\{0.9, 0.99, 0.999, 0.9999, \dots\}$

It is *clear* that this sequence converges to 1. But how do we *prove* it?

 $\{0.9, 0.99, 0.999, 0.9999, \dots\}$

It is *clear* that this sequence converges to 1. But how do we *prove* it? Suppose $\varepsilon = 0.1$.

 $\{0.9, 0.99, 0.999, 0.9999, \dots\}$

It is *clear* that this sequence converges to 1. But how do we *prove* it? Suppose $\varepsilon = 0.1$. Can we find N such that $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N$?

 $\{0.9, 0.99, 0.999, 0.9999, \dots\}$

It is *clear* that this sequence converges to 1. But how do we *prove* it? Suppose $\varepsilon = 0.1$. Can we find N such that $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N$? Sure! Take N = 2.

 $\{0.9, 0.99, 0.999, 0.9999, \dots\}$

It is *clear* that this sequence converges to 1. But how do we *prove* it? Suppose $\varepsilon = 0.1$. Can we find N such that $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N$? Sure! Take N = 2. What if $\varepsilon = 0.01$?

 $\{0.9, 0.99, 0.999, 0.9999, \dots\}$

It is *clear* that this sequence converges to 1. But how do we *prove* it? Suppose $\varepsilon = 0.1$. Can we find N such that $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N$? Sure! Take N = 2. What if $\varepsilon = 0.01$? Can we find N then?

 $\{0.9, 0.99, 0.999, 0.9999, \dots\}$

It is *clear* that this sequence converges to 1. But how do we *prove* it? Suppose $\varepsilon = 0.1$. Can we find N such that $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N$? Sure! Take N = 2. What if $\varepsilon = 0.01$? Can we find N then? Yes!

 $\{0.9, 0.99, 0.999, 0.9999, \dots\}$

It is *clear* that this sequence converges to 1. But how do we *prove* it? Suppose $\varepsilon = 0.1$. Can we find N such that $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N$? Sure! Take N = 2. What if $\varepsilon = 0.01$? Can we find N then? Yes! So what?

 $\{0.9, 0.99, 0.999, 0.9999, \dots\}$

It is *clear* that this sequence converges to 1. But how do we *prove* it? Suppose $\varepsilon = 0.1$. Can we find N such that $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N$? Sure! Take N = 2. What if $\varepsilon = 0.01$? Can we find N then? Yes! So what?

A sequence is convergent if we can *always* find an *N* no matter how small we choose $\varepsilon > 0$.

$$\left\{ \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$\left\{ \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

Monotone? Yes. Increasing. Bounded? No. Convergent? No way!

$$\left\{\sqrt{n+1}-\sqrt{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$\left\{\sqrt{n+1}-\sqrt{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

Monotone? Decreasing. Bounded? By the first term... Convergent? Yes. Notice that

$$egin{aligned} \mathsf{a}_n &= (\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}) rac{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}} \ &= rac{1}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}} \end{aligned}$$

which converges to zero.

OK that's it! Come back during x-hour tomorrow for some trig review/practice if you feel like you need it!