A Comment on Iterated Integrals

Today (Monday, September 21), we looked at the integral

$$V := \iint_R x e^{xy} \, dA$$

where R is the rectangle $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$. At first blush, this seemed easy. As we saw in lecture, Fubini's Theorem says that

$$\iint_{R} x e^{xy} dA = \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} x e^{xy} dy dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2} \left(e^{xy} \Big|_{y=0}^{y=2} \right) dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2} (e^{x} - 1) dx$$
$$= e^{2} - 3.$$

The puzzling thing was that Fubini's Theorem also tells us that

$$\iint_R x e^{xy} \, dA = \int_0^1 \int_0^2 x e^{xy} \, dx \, dy.$$

To do the first integral, we used integration by parts with u = x and $dv = e^{xy}dx$. Then du = dx and $v = \frac{1}{u}e^{xy}$. This resulted in

$$\iint_{R} x e^{xy} dA = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2} x e^{xy} dx dy.$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{2e^{2y}}{y} - \frac{e^{2y}}{y^{2}} + \frac{1}{y^{2}}\right) dy$$
(1)

Unfortunately, it is not at all clear how to find an anti-derivative for the integrand in (1). We know from Fubini's Theorem that the answer is $e^2 - 3$, but it does not seem fair that we can't work it out.

It turns out that we can do the integral, but we need a bit of hard work. (What follows is very similar to what is done in Example 3 of §15.2 of our text.)

Integration by parts tells us that

$$\int \frac{2e^{2y}}{y} \, dy = \frac{e^{2y}}{y} + \int \frac{e^{2y}}{y^2} \, dy.$$

Written another way,

$$\int \frac{2e^{2y}}{y} \, dy - \int \frac{e^{2y}}{y^2} \, dy = \frac{e^{2y}}{y}.$$

Since these are indefinite integrals, this just means that any antiderivative of $\frac{2e^{2y}}{y}$ differs from an antiderivative of $\frac{e^{2y}}{y^2}$ by $\frac{e^{2y}}{y}$ (plus a constant). Consequently,

$$\int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{2e^{2y}}{y} - \frac{e^{2y}}{y^{2}} + \frac{1}{y^{2}}\right) dy = \left(\int \frac{2e^{2y}}{y} dy - \int \frac{e^{2y}}{y^{2}} dy - \frac{1}{y}\right)\Big|_{0}^{1}$$
$$= \left(\frac{e^{2y}}{y} - \frac{1}{y}\right)\Big|_{0}^{1}$$
$$= \left(\frac{e^{2y} - 1}{y}\right)\Big|_{0}^{1}$$
$$= e^{2} - 1 - \lim_{y \to 0} \frac{e^{2y} - 1}{y}$$
$$= e^{2} - 3.$$

Of course, as we observed in class, it is just way easier to use the first method.