

## Math 73/103 Assignment Four

### Due Date TBA

1. [Optional: Do not turn in] Prove the version of Fubini and Tonelli for complete measures stated in lecture: Let  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathfrak{N}, \nu)$  be *complete*  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. Let  $(X \times Y, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  be the completion of  $(X \times Y, \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{N}, \mu \times \nu)$ . Suppose that  $f$  is  $\mathfrak{L}$ -measurable and that either (a)  $f \geq 0$  or (b)  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$ . Show that  $f_x$  and  $f_y$  are measurable almost everywhere and in case (b), then they are integrable almost everywhere. And, with suitable modifications on null sets,  $x \mapsto \int_Y f_x d\nu$  and  $y \mapsto \int_X f_y d\mu$  are measurable and even integrable in case (b). Then show that the iterated integrals both agree with the double integral.

(Here is what I suggest, let  $g$  be a  $\mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{N}$ -measurable function that equals  $f$  almost everywhere. Then prove the following lemmas:

- (a) If  $E \in \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{N}$ , and  $\mu \times \nu(E) = 0$ , then  $\nu(E_x) = 0 = \mu(E_y)$  for almost all  $x$  and  $y$ .
- (b) If  $f$  is  $\mathfrak{L}$ -measurable and  $f = 0$   $\lambda$ -almost everywhere, then  $f_x$  and  $f_y$  are integrable almost everywhere and  $\int_X f_y d\mu = 0 = \int_Y f_x d\nu$ .)

2. Let  $\nu$  be a complex measure on  $(X, \mathfrak{M})$ .

- (a) Show that there is a measure  $\mu$  and a measurable function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  so that  $|\varphi| = 1$ , and such that for all  $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,

$$\nu(E) = \int_E \varphi d\mu. \quad (\dagger)$$

(Hint: write  $\nu = \nu_1 - \nu_2 + i(\nu_3 - \nu_4)$  for measures  $\nu_i$ . Put  $\mu_0 = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4$ . Note that  $\nu_k \ll \mu_0$  and use the Raydon Nikodym Theorem to show that  $\mu_0$  will satisfy  $(\dagger)$  provided we don't require  $|\varphi| = 1$ . You can then use without proof the fact that any complex-valued measurable function  $h$  can be written as  $h = \varphi \cdot |h|$  with  $\varphi$  unimodular and measurable.)

- (b) [Optional: Do not turn in] Show that the measure  $\mu$  above is unique, and that  $\varphi$  is determined almost everywhere  $[\mu]$ . (Hint: if  $\mu'$  and  $\varphi'$  also satisfy  $(\dagger)$ , then show that  $\mu' \ll \mu$ , and that  $\frac{d\mu'}{d\mu} = 1$  a.e. Also note that if  $\varphi'$  is unimodular and  $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ , then  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 E_i$  where  $E_1 = \{x \in E : \operatorname{Re} \varphi' > 0\}$ ,  $E_2 = \{x \in E : \operatorname{Re} \varphi' < 0\}$ ,  $E_3 = \{x \in E : \operatorname{Im} \varphi' > 0\}$ , and  $E_4 = \{x \in E : \operatorname{Im} \varphi' < 0\}$ .)

Comment: the measure  $\mu$  in question 2 is called the *total variation* of  $\nu$ , and the usual notation is  $|\nu|$ . It is defined by different methods in your text: see chapter 6. One can prove facts like  $|\nu|(E) \geq |\nu(E)|$ , although one doesn't always have  $|\nu|(E) = |\nu(E)|$ ; this also proves that even classical notation can be unfortunate.

The remaining problems reprise some of the fundamental results about functions of a complex variable covered in elementary courses but not covered in chapter ten of our text [2]. Most of this material — with perhaps the exception of problem 7 — are part of the early chapters in basic texts such as Conway [1], Brown & Churchill or Saff & Snider. Feel free to sneak a peak.

Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbf{C}$  and assume that  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a function. Of course, we can view  $\Omega$  as an open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  and define  $u, v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$u(x, y) := \operatorname{Re}(f(x + iy)) \quad \text{and} \quad v(x, y) = \operatorname{Im}(f(x + iy))$$

We say that the *Cauchy-Riemann Equations hold at*  $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$  if the partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$  exist at  $(x_0, y_0)$  and

$$u_x(x_0, y_0) = v_y(x_0, y_0) \quad \text{and} \quad u_y(x_0, y_0) = -v_x(x_0, y_0). \quad (\text{CR})$$

We often abuse notation slightly, and say that (CR) amounts to  $f_y(z_0) = if_x(z_0)$ . (Just to be specific,  $f_x(x_0 + iy_0) := u_x(x_0, y_0) + iv_x(x_0, y_0)$ .)

3. Suppose that  $f'(z_0)$  exists. Show that

$$f_x(z_0) = f'(z_0) = -if_y(z_0). \quad (3)$$

Conclude that the Cauchy-Riemann equations hold at  $z_0$  whenever  $f'(z_0)$  exists. Verify (3) when  $f(z) = z^2$ . (Write  $f'(z) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h}(f(z+h) - f(z))$ . If the limit exists, so does the limit when we let  $h = x + i0$  be real or  $h = 0 + iy$  is purely imaginary.)

4. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a *region* in  $\mathbf{C}$ , and that  $f \in H(\Omega)$ . Show that if  $f'(z) = 0$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ , then  $f$  is constant. (You can prove for yourself or use without proof that if  $u : \Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is such that  $u_x(x, y) = 0 = u_y(x, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in \Omega$  then  $u$  is constant — provided  $\Omega$  is a region.)

5. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a region and  $f \in H(\Omega)$ . Show that if  $f$  is real-valued in  $\Omega$ , then  $f$  is constant.

6. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a region and  $f \in H(\Omega)$ . Suppose that  $z \mapsto |f(z)|$  is constant on  $\Omega$ . Show that  $f$  must be constant. (Consider  $|f(z)|^2$ .)

We let  $f$ ,  $u$ ,  $v$  and  $\Omega$  be as above. Define

$$F : \Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2 \quad \text{by} \quad F(x, y) = (u(x, y), v(x, y)).$$

Pretend that you remember that  $F$  is differentiable at  $(x_0, y_0) \in \Omega$  if there is a linear function  $L : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  such that

$$\lim_{(h,k) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{\|F(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - F(x_0, y_0) - L(h, k)\|}{\|(h, k)\|} = 0,$$

in which case, the partials of  $u$  and  $v$  must exist and  $L$  is given by the Jacobian Matrix

$$[L] = \begin{pmatrix} u_x(x_0, y_0) & u_y(x_0, y_0) \\ v_x(x_0, y_0) & v_y(x_0, y_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

(Of course, here  $\|(x, y)\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = |x + iy|$ .)

7. Let  $f$ ,  $F$ ,  $u$ ,  $v$  and  $\Omega$  be as above. Let  $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0 \in \Omega$ . Show that  $f'(z_0)$  exists if and only if the Cauchy-Riemann equations hold at  $z_0$  and  $F$  is differentiable at  $(x_0, y_0)$ . (Hint: if we let  $z = h + ik$  and if  $T$  is given by the matrix

$$[T] = \begin{pmatrix} u_x(x_0, y_0) & -v_x(x_0, y_0) \\ v_x(x_0, y_0) & u_x(x_0, y_0) \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\|F(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - F(x_0, y_0) - T(h, k)\| = |f(z + z_0) - f(z_0) - \omega z|,$$

where  $\omega = u_x(x_0, y_0) + iv_x(x_0, y_0) = f_x(z_0)$ . Then remember (3).)

Problem #7 has an important Corollary. We learn in multivariable calculus, that  $F$  is differentiable at  $(x_0, y_0)$  if the partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$  exist in a neighborhood of  $(x_0, y_0)$  and are continuous at  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Hence we get as a Corollary of problem #7, with  $f$ ,  $u$  and  $v$  defined as above, that if  $u$  and  $v$  have continuous partial derivatives in a neighborhood of  $(x_0, y_0)$  and if the Cauchy-Riemann equations hold at  $z_0$ , then  $f'(z_0)$  exists. Use this observation in problem #8.

8. Define  $\exp : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  by  $\exp(x + iy) = e^x(\cos(y) + i \sin(y))$ . Show that  $\exp \in H(\mathbf{C})$  and  $\exp'(z) = \exp(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ .

If  $\Omega$  is open in  $\mathbf{C}$  or  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , then we say  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is *harmonic* if it has continuous second partial derivatives and if it is a solution to Laplace's equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0. \quad (\text{L})$$

9. Suppose that  $f \in H(\Omega)$ . Let  $u(x, y) = \operatorname{Re}(f(x + iy))$ . Assuming  $u$  has continuous second partials, show that  $u$  is harmonic in  $\Omega$ .

10. Suppose that  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is harmonic. We say  $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a *harmonic conjugate* for  $u$  if  $f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$  defines a holomorphic function on  $\Omega$ . Find all harmonic conjugates for  $u(x, y) = 2xy$ .

For the purposes of this assignment only, we'll call a region  $\Omega$  a *SC region* if every  $f \in H(\Omega)$  has an antiderivative in  $\Omega$ . For example, we have shown in lecture that every convex region is a SC region. Later, I hope that we'll see that any simply connected region is a SC region. In fact, a region is a SC-region if and only if it is simply connected.

11. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a SC region and that  $u$  is harmonic in  $\Omega$ . Show that  $u$  has a harmonic conjugate in  $\Omega$ . (Hint: we need to find a function  $f \in H(\Omega)$  such that  $u = \operatorname{Re}(f)$ . However, consider  $g = u_x - iu_y$ . Show that  $g \in H(\Omega)$  and consider an anti-derivative  $f$  for  $g$  in  $\Omega$ . As in problem 4, you may use without proof the fact that if  $w : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuous and  $w_x \equiv 0 \equiv w_y$  in  $\Omega$ , then  $w$  is constant.)

If  $u = \operatorname{Re}(f)$ , then  $u_x = \operatorname{Re}(f')$  and  $u_y = \operatorname{Re}(-if')$ . Thus, it is a consequence of question #11 (and the deep result that  $f \in H(\Omega)$  implies  $f$  is analytic) that every harmonic function has continuous partial derivatives of all orders.

12. Just as in question #8, we'll be fancy and write  $\exp(z)$  in place of  $e^z$ . Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a SC region and that  $0 \notin \Omega$ . Then show there is a  $f \in H(\Omega)$  such that

$$\exp(f(z)) = z.$$

We call  $f$  a *branch of  $\log(z)$  in  $\Omega$* . (Hint: start by letting  $f$  be an antiderivative of  $1/z$ . and recall that  $\exp(z) = a$  has infinitely many solutions for all  $a \neq 0$ .)

13. Show that  $f(z) = 1/z$  can't have an antiderivative in the punctured complex plane  $\mathbf{C}^* := \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Conclude that there is no (holomorphic) branch of  $\log z$  in  $\mathbf{C}^*$ .

## References

- [1] John B. Conway, *Functions of one complex variable*, Second, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 11, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978. MR503901 (80c:30003)
- [2] Walter Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.