MATH 052: INTRODUCTION TO PROOFS HOMEWORK #37

Problem 6.3.8. Define a *prime triple* to be a set of three prime numbers of the form $\{n, n+2, n+4\}$. For example, $\{3, 5, 7\}$ is a prime triple. Are there any others? Either exhibit another or prove there are none.

Solution. We make the following claim.

Claim. If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then at least one of n, n+2, n+4 is divisible by 3.

Proof. By the division algorithm, we can write n = 3q + r with r = 0, 1, 2. If r = 0, then n = 3q is divisible by 3; if r = 1, then n + 2 = 3(q + 1) is divisible by 3; if r = 2, then n + 4 = 3(q + 2) is divisible by 3.

Now if $\{n, n + 2, n + 4\}$ is a set of three prime numbers, then by the claim one of them must be divisible by 3 and hence equal to 3 since it is prime. Thus either n = 3, in which case we have the triple $\{3, 5, 7\}$; or n + 2 = 3, so we obtain the triple $\{1, 3, 5\}$ but 1 is not prime; or n + 4 = 3, but then n = -1 < 0. So the only prime triple is $\{3, 5, 7\}$.

Problem 6.3.10(a)(b). Use Euclid's algorithm to compute the following greatest common divisors gcd(a, b) and the extended Euclidean algorithm to write gcd(a, b) as a linear combination of a, b.

- (a) gcd(56, 104)
- (b) gcd(462, 3003)

Solution. For (a), we have:

$$104 = 1 \cdot 56 + 48$$

$$56 = 1 \cdot 48 + 8$$

$$48 = 6 \cdot 8$$

Therefore gcd(104, 56) = 8. The extended Euclidean algorithm gives:

$$8 = 1 \cdot 56 + (-1) \cdot 48 = 1 \cdot 56 + (-1)(104 - 1 \cdot 56) = (-1)(104) + 2(56).$$

In a similar way, we obtain gcd(462, 3003) = 231 with 231 = -6(462) + 1(3003).

Date: 30 November 2011.