



## Cross Products of Strongly Morita Equivalent $C^*$ -Algebras

Raul E. Curto; Paul S. Muhly; Dana P. Williams

*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 90, No. 4. (Apr., 1984), pp. 528-530.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28198404%2990%3A4%3C528%3ACPOSME%3E2.0.CO%3B2-7>

*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

---

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

## CROSS PRODUCTS OF STRONGLY MORITA EQUIVALENT $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS<sup>1</sup>

RAUL E. CURTO, PAUL S. MUHLY AND DANA P. WILLIAMS

ABSTRACT. Suppose that a locally compact group  $G$  acts on strongly Morita equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  and let  $A \rtimes G$  and  $B \rtimes G$  denote the corresponding crossed products. We present conditions which imply that  $A \rtimes G$  and  $B \rtimes G$  are also strongly Morita equivalent and we apply our result to improve upon known theorems concerning strong Morita equivalence between certain transformation group  $C^*$ -algebras.

1. Denote the action of  $G$  on  $A$  by  $\alpha$  and that of  $G$  on  $B$  on  $\beta$ . Suppose, too, that  $X$  is a complete  $A$ - $B$ -equivalence bimodule in the sense of [4, p. 287].

**THEOREM 1.** *If there is a strongly continuous action of  $G$  on  $X$ ,  $\{\tau_t\}_{t \in G}$ , such that for  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$  and  $x, y \in X$ , the following equations, (i) and (ii) are satisfied, then  $A \rtimes G$  and  $B \rtimes G$  are strongly Morita equivalent.*

- (i)  $\langle \tau_t x, \tau_t y \rangle_A = \alpha_t(\langle x, y \rangle_A)$  and
- (ii)  $\langle \tau_t x, \tau_t y \rangle_B = \beta_t(\langle x, y \rangle_B)$ .

PROOF. Since  $\langle x, y \rangle_A \langle u, v \rangle_A = \langle x \langle y, u \rangle_B, v \rangle$  for  $x, y, u$ , and  $v \in X$ , it follows from the fact that each  $\alpha_t$  is an automorphism that

$$\tau_t(x \langle y, u \rangle_B) = \tau_t(x) \beta_t(\langle y, u \rangle_B).$$

Since range of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$  spans a dense subset of  $B$ , we notice that  $\tau_t(x \cdot b) = \tau_t(x) \beta_t(b)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $b \in B$ . Similarly,  $\tau_t(a \cdot x) = \alpha_t(a) \tau_t(x)$ .

Let  $C$  be the linking algebra for  $A$  and  $B$  constructed in [1]. Then  $C$  consists of matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ \tilde{y} & b \end{pmatrix}$  where  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ ,  $x, y \in X$ , and where  $\tilde{y}$  denotes the image of  $y$  in the dual  $B$ - $A$ -equivalence bimodule. Since  $X$  is assumed complete,  $C$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and may be faithfully represented by bounded operators on the (right)  $B$ -rigged space  $M = X + B$  (with  $B$ -valued inner product  $\langle \begin{pmatrix} x \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y \\ c \end{pmatrix} \rangle_B = \langle x, y \rangle_B + b^*c$ ) according to the formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ \tilde{y} & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} az + xc \\ \langle y, z \rangle_B + bc \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define  $\{\gamma_t\}_{t \in G}$  on  $C$  by the formula

$$\gamma_t \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ \tilde{y} & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t(a) & \tau_t(x) \\ (\tau_t y)^\sim & \beta_t(b) \end{pmatrix}$$

Received by the editors March 10, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L05, 46L40, 46L55.

<sup>1</sup>Supported in part by grants from the National Science Foundation.

and use hypotheses (i) and (ii), as well as the covariance property demonstrated above, to check that  $t \rightarrow \gamma_t$  is a homomorphism of  $G$  into the  $*$ -automorphisms of  $C$ . To see that  $\{\gamma_t\}_{t \in G}$  is strongly continuous, it suffices to check that for  $c \in C$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow e} \gamma_t(c) \binom{x}{b} = c \binom{x}{b}$  uniformly in the  $B$ -norm on  $M$  as  $\binom{x}{b}$  ranges over bounded subsets of  $M$ . This is most easily done for elements in  $C$  with one nonzero entry and we omit the details. As in [1], let  $p = \begin{pmatrix} \text{id}_A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{id}_B \end{pmatrix}$  where  $\text{id}_A$  and  $\text{id}_B$  are the identity maps of  $A$  and  $B$  onto themselves. Then  $p$  and  $q$  are complementary full projections in the multiplier algebra of  $C$ ,  $M(C)$ , and the maps  $i_A: A \rightarrow C$  and  $i_B: B \rightarrow C$  defined by  $i_A(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $i_B(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$  are isometric imbeddings of  $A$  and  $B$  into  $C$  with images  $pCp$  and  $qCq$  respectively. Moreover,  $p$  and  $q$  are invariant under  $\{\gamma_t\}_{t \in G}$ , and the maps  $i_A$  and  $i_B$  are equivariant, i.e.,  $i_A \circ \alpha_t = \gamma_t \circ i_A$  and  $i_B \circ \beta_t = \gamma_t \circ i_B$ . If we form  $C \rtimes G$  using  $\{\gamma_t\}_{t \in G}$ , and if we view  $p$  and  $q$  as elements in  $M(C \rtimes G)$ , then it is easy to see that  $p$  and  $q$  are complementary full projections in  $M(C \rtimes G)$ . Moreover, by equivariance, the maps  $i_A$  and  $i_B$  extend in the obvious way to imbeddings of  $A \rtimes G$  and  $B \rtimes G$  into  $C \rtimes G$  with images  $p(C \rtimes G)p$  and  $q(C \rtimes G)q$ , respectively. We conclude from [1, Theorem 1.1] that  $A \rtimes G$  and  $B \rtimes G$  are strongly Morita equivalent.

2. In [5], Rieffel describes a number of circumstances under which certain transformation group  $C^*$ -algebras are seen to be strongly Morita equivalent. His Situation 10 is the most general in the sense that all of the others are special cases of it. We use Theorem 1 to show how to improve upon Situation 10 and how to derive the improvement from Rieffel's Situation 2. Following [5], suppose that  $H$  and  $K$  are locally compact groups acting on a locally compact space  $P$ . We assume that  $H$  and  $K$  act freely, that for each action compact sets are wandering, and that the actions commute. The wandering hypothesis implies that the orbit spaces  $P/H$  and  $P/K$  are locally compact, and since the actions commute, there is a natural action of  $K$  on  $P/H$  and one of  $H$  on  $P/K$ . Consequently, we may form the transformation group  $C^*$ -algebras,  $C^*(H, P/K)$  and  $C^*(K, P/H)$ . On the other hand, since the actions commute, we may view  $H \times K$  as acting on  $P$  in the obvious way, and so we may form  $C^*(H \times K, P)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *The three algebras,  $C^*(H, P/K)$ ,  $C^*(K, P/H)$  and  $C^*(H \times K, P)$ , are strongly Morita equivalent.*

**PROOF.** Situation 2 of [5] asserts that since the action of  $K$  on  $P$  satisfies the wandering hypothesis,  $C^*(K, P)$  and  $C_0(P/K)$  are strongly Morita equivalent and that a natural  $C^*(K, P) - C_0(P/K)$ -equivalence bimodule  $X$  is the completion of  $C_c(P)$  in the  $C_0(P/K)$ -valued inner product. The  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(K, P)$  is a completion of  $C_c(K \times P)$  and one obtains an action of  $H$  on  $C^*(K, P)$  by defining it first on  $C_c(K \times P)$  by the formula  $(\alpha_h \Phi)(k, p) = \Phi(k, h^{-1}p)$ ,  $\Phi \in C_0(K \times P)$ . Also,  $H$  acts on  $C_0(P/K)$  according to the formula  $(\beta_h \Omega)(\dot{p}) = \Omega((h^{-1}p))$ ,  $\Omega \in C_0(P/K)$ , where  $\dot{p}$  denotes the image of  $p$  in  $P$  under the quotient map onto  $P/K$ . Finally, for  $h \in H$  and  $f \in C_c(p)$ , we define  $(\tau_h f)(p) = f(h^{-1}p)$ . Then it is routine to check the formulas in Theorem 1 at the level of functions in the appropriate spaces,  $C_c(K \times P)$ ,

$C_c(P)$ , and  $C_0(P/K)$ . But it is also routine to check that  $\{\tau_h\}_{h \in H}$  is strongly continuous in the norm on  $C_c(P)$  determined by the  $C_0(P/K)$ -valued inner product. Thus  $\{\tau_r\}_{r \in H}$  extends to all of  $X$  and the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied. By Theorem 1,  $C^*(K, P) \rtimes H$  and  $C_0(P/K) \rtimes H$  are strongly Morita equivalent, but since these algebras are isomorphic to  $C^*(H \times K, P)$  and  $C^*(H, P/K)$ , respectively, we conclude that  $C^*(H \times K, P)$  and  $C^*(H, P/K)$  are strongly equivalent. Since the equivalence of  $C^*(H \times K, P)$  and  $C^*(K, P/H)$  may be proved similarly, the proof is complete.

REMARK. It may appear that we have given an entirely elementary proof of Rieffel's Situation 10 avoiding his slick but unintuitive argument. However, this is not quite the case; one still has to verify Situation 2. To be sure, Rieffel's argument simplifies somewhat in the context of Situation 2, but not materially. Another argument for Situation 2 may be fashioned easily from Green's Theorem 14 in [2] and Raeburn's Proposition 1.1 in [3]. While this approach is perhaps more intuitive than Rieffel's, it certainly is much longer.

ADDED IN PROOF. We recently received the preprint, *Crossed products and Morita equivalence*, by F. Combes, in which he also proves Theorem 1.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. G. Brown, P. Green and M. A. Rieffel, *Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Pacific J. Math **71** (1977), 349–363.
2. P. Green,  *$C^*$ -algebras of transformation groups with smooth orbit space*, Pacific J. Math. **72** (1977), 71–97.
3. I. Raeburn, *On the Picard group of a continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **263** (1981), 183–205.
4. M. A. Rieffel, *Morita equivalence for operator algebras*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 38, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1982, pp. 285–298.
5. ———, *Applications of strong Morita equivalence to transformation group  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 38, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982, pp. 299–310.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IOWA 52242 (Current address of Raul E. Curto and Paul S. Muhly)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843 (Current address of Dana P. Williams)

## LINKED CITATIONS

- Page 1 of 1 -



You have printed the following article:

### **Cross Products of Strongly Morita Equivalent $C^*$ -Algebras**

Raul E. Curto; Paul S. Muhly; Dana P. Williams

*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 90, No. 4. (Apr., 1984), pp. 528-530.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28198404%2990%3A4%3C528%3ACPOSME%3E2.0.CO%3B2-7>

---

*This article references the following linked citations. If you are trying to access articles from an off-campus location, you may be required to first logon via your library web site to access JSTOR. Please visit your library's website or contact a librarian to learn about options for remote access to JSTOR.*

## References

### <sup>3</sup> **On the Picard Group of a Continuous Trace $C^*$ -Algebra**

Iain Raeburn

*Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 263, No. 1. (Jan., 1981), pp. 183-205.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9947%28198101%29263%3A1%3C183%3AOTPGOA%3E2.0.CO%3B2-A>

**NOTE:** *The reference numbering from the original has been maintained in this citation list.*