

Final Exam Review Sheet Solutions

3. There is an injective homomorphism $S_n \rightarrow A_{2n}$ sending each σ permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ to the product $\sigma\sigma'$, where σ' is the same permutation as σ , except it acts on $\{n+1, \dots, 2n\}$. The product of two disjoint permutations of the same length is always even. Checking that this defines an injective homomorphism is straightforward. Then, given any group G of order n , the left regular representation yields an injective homomorphism $G \rightarrow S_n$, which we can then compose with $S_n \rightarrow A_{2n}$.

4. By the classification theorem for finite abelian groups, the number of isomorphism classes only depends on the number of times a given prime number divides the order. So there are three cases to consider.

Case 1, $p = q = r$. Then we are considering groups of order p^6 . Elementary divisors are in bijection with partitions of 6. There are eleven of them: (6), (5, 1), (4, 2), (4, 1, 1), (3, 3), (3, 2, 1), (3, 1, 1, 1), (2, 2, 2), (2, 2, 1, 1), (2, 1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1). So there are 11 isomorphism classes of abelian group of order p^6 .

Case 2, $p = r$, $q \neq p$. Then we are considering groups of order p^4q^2 . Elementary divisors for the p -part are in bijection with partitions of 4, of which there are five: (4), (3, 1), (2, 2), (2, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1). Elementary divisors for the q -part are in bijection with partitions of 2, of which there are two: (2), (1, 1). So there are $10 = 5 \cdot 2$ isomorphism classes of abelian group of order p^4q^2 .

Case 3, p, q, r different. Then we are considering groups of order $p^2q^2r^2$. There are $8 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$ isomorphism classes of abelian group of order $p^2q^2r^2$.

5. Let G be a group of order $245 = 5 \cdot 7^2$. Let F be a Sylow 5-subgroup and S be a Sylow 7-subgroup. As $n_5 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ and $n_5 | 7^2$, we see that $n_5 = 1$, and thus $F \trianglelefteq G$. Also $S \trianglelefteq G$ since its index is the smallest prime dividing the order of G . By Lagrange's theorem, $F \cap S = \{1\}$, since they have relatively prime orders. Hence by the recognition theorem for direct products, $G \cong F \times S$. Now $F \cong Z_5$, since its order is prime. We previously proved in class that a group of order p^2 is abelian, hence S is either isomorphic to Z_{49} or $Z_7 \times Z_7$. In conclusion, there are two possible isomorphism classes of groups of order 245: $Z_{245} \cong Z_5 \times Z_{49}$ or $Z_{35} \times Z_7 \cong Z_5 \times Z_7 \times Z_7$.

6. By Cauchy's theorem, G has an element of order 7, which generates a subgroup $K \subset G$ of order 7. By Lagrange's theorem, $K \cap H = \{1\}$, since their orders are relatively prime. Hence by the recognition theorem for semi-direct products, $G \cong H \rtimes K$ with respect to a homomorphism $\varphi : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$. As we learned in one of the problem sets, the automorphism group of S_6 has order $2 \cdot 6!$, with the subgroup of inner automorphisms isomorphic to S_6 . By Lagrange's theorem, $\text{Aut}(H)$ has no element of order 7, hence φ is the trivial homomorphism. We conclude that $G \cong H \times K \cong S_6 \times Z_7$.

We know that the abelianization of S_7 is isomorphic to Z_2 . Since abelianization commutes with direct products, the abelianization of $S_6 \times Z_7$ is isomorphic to $Z_2 \times Z_7$, hence S_7 is not isomorphic to $S_6 \times Z_7$, and hence cannot contain any normal subgroup isomorphic to S_6 .

7. By the problem sets, if $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree r , then $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(f(x))$ is a field of order p^r . Hence we must find irreducible polynomials of degree 3 over \mathbb{F}_2 , \mathbb{F}_3 , and \mathbb{F}_5 . By the book, we know that a polynomial of degree 3 is irreducible over a field if and only if it has no roots in that field (remember that this is false for polynomials of degree 4 and higher). It's easy to check (by plugging in the elements) that the polynomial $x^3 + x + 1$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_2 and \mathbb{F}_5 while the polynomial $x^3 + x^2 + x - 1$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_3 .

By the problem sets, we know that if \mathbb{F}_{p^r} is a field of order p^r , then $\mathbb{F}_{p^r}^\times$ is a cyclic group of order $p^r - 1$. In particular, by the structure theory of subgroups of cyclic groups, for every divisor of $p^r - 1$ there is an element of that order. So \mathbb{F}_8^\times has elements of order 1 and 7; \mathbb{F}_{27}^\times has elements of order 1, 2, 13, and 26; and \mathbb{F}_{125}^\times has elements of order 1, 2, 4, 31, 62, and 124.

8. To prove that R is a subring, we need to verify that it is closed under addition, which is obvious, and under multiplication:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac + bd & ad + bc \\ bc + ad & bd + ac \end{pmatrix}$$

In fact, R also contains the identity of $M_2(\mathbb{R})$, and the commutativity is apparent from the formula for the product. We can see from the product:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

that R is not an integral domain. Calculating the square of an element:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 + b^2 & 2ab \\ 2ab & a^2 + b^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

we see that to find an idempotent, we must simultaneously solve the equations $a^2 + b^2 = a$ and $2ab = b$ in \mathbb{R} . If $b = 0$, then the second is solved, and the first yields $a = 0$ or 1 . If $b \neq 0$, then (since \mathbb{R} is a field) we can cancel b from the second equation to get $a = 1/2$, from which the first equation yields $b = \pm 1/2$. Hence the idempotents are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

To study nilpotent elements, we need to compute powers, and it is most natural to consider eigenvalues. The characteristic polynomial of an element of R is $x^2 - 2ax + a^2 - b^2 = (x - (a - b))(x - (a + b))$. So the eigenvalues are $a \pm b$. Any nilpotent matrix must have all its eigenvalues nilpotent (indeed, if λ is an eigenvalue of A then λ^k is an eigenvalue to A^k , and the zero matrix has all zero eigenvalues), and since we are over a field, all eigenvalues must zero. However, the only way for both $a \pm b = 0$, is that $a = b = 0$, so there are no nonzero nilpotent elements.

Now we consider the map $\varphi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. It is clearly additive; to check that it is multiplicative, we use the above formula for the product, verifying that $(a - b)(c - d) = (ac + bd) - (ad + bc)$. It also preserves identities, so φ is a homomorphism of rings with 1. The kernel consists of all matrices of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{pmatrix}$$

The map $\ker(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ taking such a matrix to a is clearly a group homomorphism between additive groups (it is not a ring homomorphism, however). Considering scalar multiples of the identity, we see that φ is surjective, hence by the first isomorphism theorem, $R/\ker(\varphi) \cong \mathbb{R}$. In particular, $\ker(\varphi)$ is a maximal ideal, hence by a theorem from class (since we are in a commutative ring), is also a prime ideal.

9. As R is a subring of the \mathbb{Q} -quaternions, which is a division ring, we know that a quaternion $x = a + bi + cj + dk$ is invertible if and only if $N(x) = x\bar{x} = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$ is a unit in \mathbb{Z} , and then the inverse is $\bar{x}/N(x)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}^\times = \{\pm 1\}$, we are left to solve $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = \pm 1$. Since a sum of squares can only be positive, only $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 1$ is possible, and then the only solutions are (a, b, c, d) of the form $(\pm 1, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, \pm 1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, \pm 1, 0)$, or $(0, 0, 0, \pm 1)$. Hence $R^\times = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$ is isomorphic to the quaternion group of order 8.

10. By a problem set exercise, a unit in $R[x]$ must have unit constant term and all other coefficients nilpotent. In $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, the only nonzero nilpotent is 2. Hence for each $n \geq 0$, the element $1 + 2x^n \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}[x]$ will be a unit (in fact, it's its own inverse).

11. As discussed in class, a \mathbb{Z} -module is the same thing as an abelian group, and a \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism is the same thing as a homomorphism between abelian groups. Since $\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z}$ is a cyclic group, any group homomorphism is determined by where it sends 1, and the image of 1 must be an element of order dividing 36. Since $\gcd(36, 48) = 12$, a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/48\mathbb{Z}$ must send 1 to an element of order dividing 12, which consists of the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/48\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $48/12 = 4$. It is straightforward to check that if $G = \langle g \rangle$ is a cyclic group and H is any abelian group and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, H)$ satisfy $\varphi_i(g) = a_i \in H$, then the element $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, H)$ satisfies $(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(g) = a_1 + a_2$, and thus we have that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, H)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup $\{\varphi(g) \mid \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, H)\} \subset H$. Hence $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/48\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/48\mathbb{Z}$ generated by 4, which is a cyclic group of order 12.

Similarly, as additive groups, $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/48\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/48\mathbb{Z})$ are cyclic of order 36 and 48, respectively. In fact, it is similarly straightforward to prove that if $G = \langle g \rangle$ is a cyclic group then the map $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, G) \rightarrow G$ defined by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(g)$ is multiplicative. In conclusion, this defines a ring isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, G) \cong G$ for any cyclic group G .

12.

- We discussed how Gauss proved that $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a Euclidean domain for the standard norm. This is not isomorphic to either \mathbb{Z} (since it has an element of multiplicative order 4) nor to $F[x]$ for any field F (any such F would have to have characteristic zero, which is impossible, since for example $2 = 1 + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is not invertible but it would be in $F[x]$).
- A Euclidean domain is a PID, as proved in class, so the above example works.
- Technically speaking, a Euclidean domain must be an integral domain, so there are plenty of quotients of \mathbb{Z} (e.g., $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$) or $F[x]$ (e.g., $F[x]/(x^2)$) that are not integral domains. If we ask whether any quotient of \mathbb{Z} or $F[x]$, which is an integral domain, is Euclidean, then the answer is “yes.” Indeed, any quotient of \mathbb{Z} is either \mathbb{Z} itself or is $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, which is a domain only when it is a field (remember that finite integral domains are fields). Similarly, any quotient of $F[x]$ is either $F[x]$ itself, or is $F[x]/(f(x))$, which, by the Chinese remainder theorem and the fact that $F[x]$ is a UFD, is a domain if and only if $f(x)$ is irreducible if and only if $F[x]/(f(x))$ is a field. Recall that a field is always Euclidean, with respect to the zero norm.
- By the lattice isomorphism theorem, any quotient of a PID is a PID.
- In class, it was stated that there are only finitely many imaginary quadratic integer rings that are Euclidean, but many more that are PID. For example, $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-19})/2]$ is one.
- As discussed in class, $F[x, y]$ is a UFD but not a PID.
- Impossible, any Euclidean domain is a PID.

13. The Chinese remainder theorem tells us that the component-wise reduction map

$$\mathbb{Z}/q_1 \cdots q_r \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/q_1 \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/q_r \mathbb{Z}$$

is an isomorphism of rings as long as q_1, \dots, q_r are pair-wise relatively prime.

For the first part, we can actually argue directly by hand. If $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is congruent to 1 modulo 2, 3, and 5, then $a - 1$ is divisible by 2, 3, and 5, hence $a - 1$ is divisible by $\text{lcm}(2, 3, 5) = 30$, so that $a \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$. The converse is true as well, if $a \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$, then a is congruent to 1 modulo 2, 3, and 5.

For the second part, it is harder to argue by hand. So we use the Chinese remainder theorem. We are looking for the intersection of cosets $(1 + 2\mathbb{Z}) \cap (2 + 3\mathbb{Z}) \cap (3 + 5\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{Z}$, which is the same as set of integers that map to $(1 + 2\mathbb{Z}, 2 + 3\mathbb{Z}, 3 + 5\mathbb{Z})$ under the component-wise reduction homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$. By the Chinese remainder theorem, this correspond to an element (a coset) in $\mathbb{Z}/30\mathbb{Z}$. Which one? We are reduced to finding a single integer with the required properties, e.g., 23 works. So the set coincides with the set of integers congruent to 23 modulo 30.

14. Letting e_1, e_2, e_3 be the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^3 , then S_3 acts on \mathbb{R}^3 by $\sigma(a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3) = a_1e_{\sigma(1)} + a_2e_{\sigma(2)} + a_3e_{\sigma(3)}$. Then the $\mathbb{R}[S_3]$ -module structure on \mathbb{R}^3 can be written as

$$\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_3} b_\sigma e_\sigma \right)(v) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} b_\sigma \sigma(v) \quad \text{for } v \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Since $\mathbb{R}[S_3]$ is an \mathbb{R} -algebra, restricting the $\mathbb{R}[S_3]$ -action to an \mathbb{R} -action shows that any $\mathbb{R}[S_3]$ -module is, in particular, an \mathbb{R} -module. Hence any $\mathbb{R}[S_3]$ -submodule $N \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is, in particular, an \mathbb{R} -subspace. Furthermore, an \mathbb{R} -subspace $N \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is an $\mathbb{R}[S_3]$ -submodule when N is invariant for the action of S_3 on \mathbb{R}^3 . For example, the subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 generated by $e_1 + e_2 + e_3$ is invariant under S_3 , hence defines a 1-dimensional (hence nontrivial) $\mathbb{R}[S_3]$ -submodule of \mathbb{R}^3 .

This is not necessary but if you are interested in finding a 2-dimensional submodule, you can show that that since the S_3 action preserves the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^3 , the orthogonal complement to a S_3 -invariant subspace is also S_3 -invariant.